

GATTACA

2. I think parents should be able to use this so they could prevent potentially life-threatening genetic diseases from occurring. It could also be useful if a parent wanted at least one girl, but they always only had boys. They could use this to get the type they wanted.

3. I think she is violating his rights, but I would still support this because I think in many cases it's necessary to know as much as you can about a person you want to spend your life with. The DNA might not show everything because many traits may be acquired later by the way a person acts or adapts. I'm not really sure if this is true or not, though.

4. I would not use genetic screenings because some people could be genuinely good workers, however just because they had a few flaws they could not get a job. It seems almost unethical in a way. If it was used, I suppose it could be used to find out any facts about the person that the employer may need to know such as anger issues, or not a group worker, though I'm not really sure if that type of information could be found in DNA. For the most part, during a normal interview, you can tell mostly by how a person is dressed, the way they act, and maybe even the quality of their speech about how intelligent they are. I think it would be pretty easy to see who would not be a very worker, and if not, it is just as easy to fire a person as it is to hire them.